IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SHERMAN DIVISION

CRISTIAN A. RODRIGUEZ-LOPEZ	§	
#18492-078	§	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:14cv740
	§	CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 4:11cr3(12)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Kimberly C. Priest Johnson, who issued a Report and Recommendation concluding that the motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence should be denied and dismissed with prejudice. The Report of the Magistrate Judge, which contains proposed findings of fact and recommendations for the disposition of the case, has been presented for consideration. Movant filed objections, complaining that the Report failed to address whether trial counsel was ineffective during closing arguments. Movant concedes that he failed to properly raise the issue by listing it on his Section 2255 form, but asks the court to consider it as he discussed it in his supporting memorandum.

In the interest of justice, the court has reviewed Movant's ineffective assistance of counsel claim and finds it is without merit. Movant asserts counsel was ineffective during closing arguments by conceding his guilt without his permission. During closing arguments, Movant's counsel argued that Movant was not a major participant in the conspiracy, but rather, he married into the family and made small profits from his wife's family business by selling marijuana and issuing depreciating-value loans. Based on the evidence introduced at trial, which included Movant's handwritten notes showing Movant's involvement, counsel conceded Movant's guilt, but urged the

jury to consider Movant's minor role and the relatively small quantity of marijuana attributable to

his involvement.

Counsel has wide latitude in deciding how best to represent a client, and deference to tactical

decisions in closing arguments is particularly important. Yarborough v. Gentry, 540 U.S. 1, 5-6

(2003). Counsel's recognition of evidence against his client, as a rational trial strategy, is not

ineffective assistance, even if the client is not consulted. Id. Movant fails to overcome the

presumption that, under the circumstances, the challenged action might be considered sound trial

strategy. Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 689 (1984). He also fails to show there is a

reasonable probability that, but for counsel's alleged unprofessional errors, the result of the

proceeding would have been different. Id. at 694. After conducting a de novo review of the

objections, the court concludes the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and

adopts the same as the findings and conclusions of the court.

It is accordingly **ORDERED** that the motion to vacate, set aside, or correct sentence is

DENIED and Movant's case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice. A certificate of appealability is

DENIED. Finally, it is **ORDERED** all motions by either party not previously ruled on are hereby

DENIED.

SIGNED this the 26th day of March, 2018.

RICHARD A. SCHELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2